

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,335	08/04/2003	Jean-Marc DuFour	DRXI-0144	6769
23377	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST	
1650 MARKI	TY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR ET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		1618	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,335	DUFOUR, JEAN-MARC	2				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·/				
•	D. L. Jones	1618					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	une 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-29,31 and 34-36</u> is/are pendiday Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18, 20-29, 31, and 34-36</u> is/are rejected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		·				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/634,335

Art Unit: 1618

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 6/10/05 wherein

Page 2

claims 1-5, 8-13, 15-18, 20-22, and 31 are amended; claims 19, 30, 32, and 33 are

canceled; and claims 34-36 are added.

Note: Claims 1-18, 20-29, 31, and 34-36 are pending.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT/ARGUMENTS

2. The Applicant's arguments filed 6/10/05 to the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-13, 15-

22, 25, and 29-33 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 112 and/or double patenting

have been fully considered and deemed persuasive because Applicant has amended

the claims to overcome the rejections. Therefore, the said rejections are hereby

withdrawn.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS

112 First Paragraph Rejections

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-18, 20-29, 31, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for Compounds 1-33 as set

forth in the specification comprising a chelating moiety, does not reasonably provide

enablement for all compounds as set forth in independent claims 1, 9, and 16 wherein

R1 is a protective agent; R2 is an activated ester, a carboxylic acid, an alkyl isothiocyanate, an aromatic isothiocyanate, or a leaving group, and R3-R5 are protective groups. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

There are several guidelines when determining if the specification of an application allows the skilled artisan to practice the invention without undue experimentation. The factors to be considered in determining what constitutes undue experimentation were affirmed by the court in *In re Wands* (8 USPQ2d 1400 (CAFC 1986)). These factors are (1) nature of the invention; (2) state of the prior art; (3) level of one of ordinary skill in the art; (4) level of predictability in the art; (5) amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor; (6) existence of working examples; (7) breadth of claims; and (8) quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

(1) Nature of the invention

The claims are directed to compounds and uses thereof as set forth in independent claims 1, 9, and 16 having the structures therein.

(2) State of the prior art

The references of record do not indicate which protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and leaving groups are useful with the claimed invention.

(3) Level of one of ordinary skill in the art

The level of one of ordinary skill in the art is high. Independent claims 1, 9, and 16 encompass a vast number of possible protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and leaving groups. Applicant's specification does not enable the public to make or use such a vast number of possible protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and leaving groups be used in combination with all possible chelating moieties.

(4) Level of predictability in the art

The art pertaining to chelating moieties containing protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups is highly unpredictable. Determining the various types of d protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups that are useful with a desired chelator requires various experimental procedures and without guidance that is applicable to all chelating moieties, there would be little predictability in performing the claimed invention.

(5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor

Independent claims 1, 9, and 16 encompass a vast number of protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups. Applicant's limited guidance does not enable the public to prepare such a numerous amount of compounds containing the protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups combinations. There is no directional guidance for the all the possible protective

groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups that are useful with a desired chelating moiety. Hence, there is no enablement for all possible permutations and combinations of the protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups.

(6) Existence of working examples

Independent claims 1, 9, and 16 encompass a vast number of compounds.

Applicant's limited working examples do not enable the public to prepare such a numerous amount of protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups combinations. While Applicant's claims encompass a plethora of possible protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups combinations, the specification provides only for Compounds 1 – 33.

(7) Breadth of claims

The claims are extremely broad due to the vast number of possible protective groups, activated esters, carboxyl acids, alkyl isothiocyanates, aromatic isothiocyanates, and/or leaving groups known to exist.

(8) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims. In particular, the specification fails to enable the skilled artisan to practice the invention without undue

experimentation. Furthermore, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, and the extreme breadth of the claims, one skilled in the art could not perform the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

112 Second Paragraph Rejections

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-18, 20-29, 31, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-18, 20-29, 31, and 34-36: The claims as written are ambiguous because it is unclear what specific protective groups, activated esters, carboxylic acids, alkyl isothiocyanate, aromatic isothiocyanate, and/or leaving groups that Applicant is intending to be compatible with the instant invention. In particular, the claims are indefinite because the specification discloses a limited number of the groups, but the claims read on any and all possible protective groups, activated esters, carboxylic acids, alkyl isothiocyanate, aromatic isothiocyanate, and/or leaving groups. Hence, one cannot readily ascertain what is being claimed.

<u>Claims 26-29, 31, and 34-36</u>: The claims as written are ambiguous because it is unclear what the method is. For example, are the claims directed to 'a method of imaging', etc. Hence, one cannot readily ascertain what is being claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,335

Art Unit: 1618

COMMENTS/NOTES

7. It is duly noted that no prior art has been cited against the instant invention.

However, Applicant MUST address and overcome the 112 rejections above. The

claims are distinguished over the prior art of record for reasons of record in the office

action mailed 3/8/05.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 1618

August 22, 2005